WHAT IS CLAIMED:

| l | 1. | A method of performing native binding to execute native code during the | |
|----|-------------------|---|--|
| 2 | translation of | subject program code executable by a subject processor to target program | |
| 3 | code executal | ole by a target processor, wherein native code is code executable by the | |
| 4 | target process | sor, said method comprising: | |
| 5 | | identifying certain subject program code having corresponding native | |
| 6 | code; | | |
| 7 | | identifying the native code which corresponds to the identified subject | |
| 8 | program code; and | | |
| 9 | | executing the corresponding native code instead of executing a translated | |
| 10 | versio | on of the identified subject program code. | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 2. | The method of claim 1, wherein the identified subject program code | |
| 2 | corresponds t | o a subject function and the identified native code corresponds to a native | |
| 3 | function, whe | erein the native code executing step comprises: | |
| 4 | | executing the native function instead of the subject function in the | |
| 5 | transl | ation of the subject program code. | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 3. | The method of claim 2, wherein the native function executing step | |
| 2 | comprises: | | |
| 3 | | transforming zero or more function parameters from a target code | |
| 4 | repres | entation to a native code representation; | |
| 5 | | invoking the native function with the transformed function parameter | |
| 6 | accord | ling to a prototype of the native function: and | |

| 7 | transforming zero or more return values of the invoked native function |
|---|--|
| 8 | from a native code representation to a target code representation. |
| 1 | 4. The method of claim 3, wherein at least one of the transformations in the |
| 2 | transforming steps generates an intermediate representation of the transformation. |
| 1 | 5. The method of claim 3, wherein at least one of the transformations in the |
| 2 | transforming steps generates target code. |
| 1 | 6. The method of claim 3, wherein the native function executing step further |
| 2 | comprises: |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the |
| 6 | transformed subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface; and |
| 7 | invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with |
| 8 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of |
| 9 | the native function. |
| 1 | 7. The method of claim 3, wherein the native function executing step |
| 2 | comprises: |
| 3 | transforming a function parameter from a target code representation to a |
| 4 | native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking the native function with the transformed function parameter |
| 6 | according to a prototype of the native function; and |

7 transforming a result of the invoked native function from a native code 8 representation to a target code representation. 1 8. The method of claim 3, wherein the function parameter transforming step 2 and the native function invoking step are described in subject code by translator specific 3 instructions added to the subject instruction set. ì 9. The method of claim 1, wherein the steps of identifying the certain subject 2 code and its corresponding native code are performed using a bind point description. 1 10. The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description includes a 2 subject function and a native function, wherein the subject function identifies the certain 3 subject program code having corresponding native code and the native function identifies 4 the corresponding native code. 1 11. The method of claim 10, further comprising inserting in the target code a 2 call stub to the native function during translation of the subject code when encountering 3 the subject function contained in the bind point description. 1 12. The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description is embedded 2 within a translator performing the translation. 1 The method of claim 9, further comprising reading the bind point 13. 2 description from a stored bind point description file at the beginning of translation 3 execution.

| 1 | 17. | The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description includes a | | |
|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | location in th | e subject code and a corresponding native function, wherein the location in | | |
| 3 | the subject co | the subject code identifies the certain subject program code having corresponding native | | |
| 4 | code and the | native function identifies the corresponding native code. | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | 15. | The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description includes a | | |
| 2 | location in th | e subject code and a reference to code to be invoked, wherein the location in | | |
| 3 | the subject co | ode identifies the certain subject program code having corresponding native | | |
| 4 | code and the | reference to code to be invoked identifies the corresponding native code. | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | 16. | The method of claim 15, wherein the code to be invoked is target code. | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | 17. | The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description includes a | | |
| 2 | native function | on call which is inserted in the target code either before, after, or in place of | | |
| 3 | a subject fund | ction call. | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | 18. | The method of claim 9, further performing runtime symbol patching | | |
| 2 | comprising: | | | |
| 3 | | encoding subject-to-native function mappings in a symbol table of the | | |
| 4 | subjec | et program, | | |
| 5 | | replacing entries in the symbol table of the subject program with special | | |
| 6 | native | hinding markers, and | | |

| / | interpreting the special native binding markers when encountered during |
|---|---|
| 8 | translation as bind point descriptions to identify an appropriate native function to |
| 9 | call. |
| | |
| 1 | 19. The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description includes a |
| 2 | correspondence to an external Schizo call command, wherein the Schizo call command is |
| 3 | a translator-specific native binding instruction, the method comprising: |
| 4 | when encountering a bind point description identifying an external Schizo |
| 5 | call command during translation of the subject code, diverting the flow of |
| 5 | translation to the execution of the external Schizo call command. |
| | · |
| 1 | 20. The method of claim 19, wherein the external Schizo call command |
| 2 | execution step comprises: |
| 3 | interpreting the external Schizo call command; and |
| 4 | generating an intermediate representation of the external Schizo call |
| 5 | command which: |
| 5 | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
| 7 | to a native code representation, and |
| 3 | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
|) | parameter according to a prototype of the native function. |
| | · |
| 1 | 21. The method of claim 19, wherein the external Schizo call command |
| 2 | execution step comprises: |
| 3 | interpreting the external Schizo call command; and |
| 4 | generating target code for the external Schizo call command which: |

|) | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
|---|---|
| 5 | to a native code representation, and |
| 7 | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
| 8 | parameter according to a prototype of the native function. |
| 1 | 22. The method of claim 1, further comprising: |
| 2 | inserting Schizo call commands into the subject code, wherein Schizo call |
| 3 | commands are translator-specific native binding instructions; and |
| 4 | detecting the Schizo call commands during translation of the subject code |
| l | 23. The method of claim 22, further comprising: |
| 2 | when encountering a Schizo call command during translation of the |
| 3 | subject code, diverting the flow of translation to the execution of the Schizo call |
| 4 | command. |
| 1 | 24. The method of claim 23, wherein the Schizo call command execution step |
| 2 | comprises: |
| 3 | interpreting the external Schizo call command; and |
| 4 | generating an intermediate representation of the Schizo call command |
| 5 | which: |
| 5 | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a |
| 7 | native code representation, and |
| 3 | invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter |
|) | according to a prototype of the native function. |

| 1 | 25. | The method of claim 23, wherein the Schizo call command execution step |
|---|-----------------|--|
| 2 | comprises: | |
| 3 | | interpreting the Schizo call command; and |
| 4 | | generating target code for the Schizo call command which: |
| 5 | | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
| 6 | | to a native code representation, and |
| 7 | | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
| 8 | | parameter according to a prototype of the native function |
| 1 | 26. | The method of claim 22, wherein the Schizo call commands are variable |
| 2 | length instruc | tions including multiple sub-component instructions. |
| 1 | 27. | The method of claim 26, wherein the multiple sub-component instructions |
| 2 | include a Sch | izo Escape sub-component instruction, said Schizo call commands detecting |
| 3 | step further co | omprising detecting the Schizo Escape sub-component instruction. |
| 1 | 28. | The method of claim 27, wherein said Schizo Escape sub-component |
| 2 | instruction fur | rther identifies a type of Schizo call command represented by the other sub- |
| 3 | component in | structions of the Schizo call command. |
| 1 | 29. | The method of claim 1, further comprising: |
| 2 | | parsing and decoding a native binding implementation scripting language |
| 3 | contai | ning native binding scripts; |
| 4 | - | interpreting the native binding scripts during translation; |

| 5 | | generating an intermediate representation of the native binding scripts to | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 6 | transform a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code | | |
| 7 | represe | entation. | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 30. | The method of claim 29, further comprising: | |
| 2 | | integrating the intermediate representation of the native binding scripts | |
| 3 | into an | intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and | |
| 4 | | generating target code for the intermediate representation forest. | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 31. | The method of claim 1, further comprising: | |
| 2 | | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code | |
| 3 | represe | entation to the native code representation; | |
| 4 | | invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the | |
| 5 . | transfo | ormed subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface; | |
| 5 | | interpreting the native code call stub function; and | |
| 7 | | generating an intermediate representation of the native code call stub | |
| 3 | function | on binding scripts to transform a function parameter from a target code | |
|) | represe | entation to a native code representation. | |
| , | | | |
| l | 32. | The method of claim 21, further comprising: | |
| 2 | | integrating the intermediate representation of the native code call stub | |
| 3 | function | on into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and | |
| 4 | | generating target code for the intermediate representation forest. | |

| 1 | 33. The method of claim 3, wherein the native function executing step further | |
|---|---|--|
| 2 | comprises: | |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code | |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; | |
| 5 | invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the | |
| 6 | transformed subject registers; and | |
| 7 | invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with | |
| 8 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of | |
| 9 | the native function. | |
| 1 | 34. The method of claim 1, further comprising: | |
| 2 | parsing a scripting language implementation of a native code call stub | |
| 3 | function; | |
| 4 | compiling the parsed native code call stub function into a native code | |
| 5 | executable module; and | |
| 6 | linking the native code executable module with an executable for | |
| 7 | performing the translation. | |
| 1 | 35. The method of claim 34, wherein the native code executable module is | |
| 2 | executable for: | |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code | |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; | |
| 5 | invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the | |
| 6 | transformed subject registers; and | |

| 7 | invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 8 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of | | |
| 9 | the native function. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 36. The method of claim 34, wherein the steps of identifying the certain | | |
| 2 | subject code and its corresponding native code are performed using a bind point | | |
| 3 | description, said bind point description including a subject function and a native code call | | |
| 4 | stub function, wherein the subject function identifies the certain subject program code | | |
| 5 | having corresponding native code and the native code call stub function identifies the | | |
| 6 | corresponding native code. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 37. The method of claim 36, further comprising encoding the identity of the | | |
| 2 | native function of the native code call stub function in the scripting language | | |
| 3 | implementation of the native code executable module. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 38. The method of claim 3, wherein the native function executing step further | | |
| 2 | comprises: | | |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code | | |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; | | |
| 5 | invoking from target code a target code call stub function with the | | |
| 6 | transformed subject registers; and | | |
| 7 | invoking from the target code call stub function the native function with | | |
| 8 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of | | |
| 9 | the native function. | | |

| 1 | 39. | The method of claim 38, further comprising: |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | | generating an intermediate representation of the native function executing |
| 3 | step; | |
| 4 | | integrating the intermediate representation of the native function executing |
| 5 | step in | to an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and |
| 6 | | generating target code for the intermediate representation forest. |
| 1 | 40. | The method of claim 1, wherein the subject function to be executed is a |
| 2 | system call. | |
| 1 | 41. | The method of claim 1, wherein the subject function to be executed is a |
| 2 | library function | |
| | | √ |
| 1 | 42. | A computer-readable storage medium having software resident thereon in |
| 2 | the form of co | omputer-readable code executable by a computer to perform the following |
| 3 | native binding steps to execute native code during the translation of subject program code | |
| 4 | executable by | a subject processor to target program code executable by a target |
| 5 | processor, wh | erein native code is code executable by the target processor, said steps |
| 6 | comprising: | |
| 7 | | identifying certain subject program code having corresponding native |
| 8 | code; | |
| 9 | | identifying the native code which corresponds to the identified subject |
| 10 | progra | m code; and |

| 11 | executing the corresponding native code instead of executing a translated | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 12 | version of the identified subject program code. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 43. | The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein the | |
| 2 | identified sul | bject program code corresponds to a subject function and the identified | |
| 3 | native code o | corresponds to a native function, wherein the native code executing step | |
| 4 | comprises: | | |
| 5 | | executing the native function instead of the subject function in the | |
| 6 | transl | ation of the subject program code. | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 44. | The computer-readable storage medium of claim 43, wherein the native | |
| 2 | function exec | cuting step comprises: | |
| 3 | | transforming zero or more function parameters from a target code | |
| 4 | repre | sentation to a native code representation; | |
| 5 | | invoking the native function with the transformed function parameter | |
| 6 | accor | ding to a prototype of the native function; and | |
| 7 | | transforming zero or more return values of the invoked native function | |
| 8 | from | a native code representation to a target code representation. | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 45. | The computer-readable storage medium of claim 44, wherein at least one | |
| 2 | of the transfo | ormations in the transforming steps generates an intermediate representation | |
| 3 | of the transfo | ormation. | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 46. | The computer-readable storage medium of claim 44, wherein at least one | |
| 2 | of the transfo | ormations in the transforming steps generates target code. | |

| | 11. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 44, wherein the harrye |
|---|--|
| 2 | function executing step further comprises: |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code |
| ļ | representation to the native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the |
| ó | transformed subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface; and |
| 7 | invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with |
| 3 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of |
|) | the native function. |
| | |
| | 48. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 44, wherein the native |
| 2 | function executing step comprises: |
| 3 | transforming a function parameter from a target code representation to a |
| ļ | native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking the native function with the transformed function parameter |
| ó | according to a prototype of the native function; and |
| 7 | transforming a result of the invoked native function from a native code |
| 3 | representation to a target code representation. |
| | |
| L | 49. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 44, wherein the function |
| 2 | parameter transforming step and the native function invoking step are described in |
| 3 | subject code by translator specific instructions added to the subject instruction set. |

1 50. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein the steps of identifying the certain subject code and its corresponding native code are performed using a bind point description.

- The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind point description includes a subject function and a native function, wherein the subject function identifies the certain subject program code having corresponding native code and the native function identifies the corresponding native code.
- The computer-readable storage medium of claim 51, said computerreadable code executable further executable for inserting in the target code a call stub to
 the native function during translation of the subject code when encountering the subject
 function contained in the bind point description.
- 1 53. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind 2 point description is embedded within a translator performing the translation.
- 1 54. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, said computer2 readable code executable further executable for reading the bind point description from a
 3 stored bind point description file at the beginning of translation execution.
 - 55. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind point description includes a location in the subject code and a corresponding native function, wherein the location in the subject code identifies the certain subject program

OC/317986.4 . 42

1

2

3

4 code having corresponding native code and the native function identifies the 5 corresponding native code. 1 56. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind 2 point description includes a location in the subject code and a reference to code to be 3 invoked, wherein the location in the subject code identifies the certain subject program 4 code having corresponding native code and the reference to code to be invoked identifies 5 the corresponding native code. 1 57. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 56, wherein the code to 2 be invoked is target code. 1 58. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind 2 point description includes a native function call which is inserted in the target code either 3 before, after, or in place of a subject function call. 1 59. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, said computer-2 readable code executable further executable for performing runtime symbol patching 3 comprising: 4 encoding subject-to-native function mappings in a symbol table of the 5 subject program, 6 replacing entries in the symbol table of the subject program with special

OC/317986.4 43

native binding markers, and

7

| 8 | interpreting the special native binding markers when encountered during |
|----|---|
| 9 | translation as bind point descriptions to identify an appropriate native function to |
| 10 | call. |
| | |
| 1 | 60. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind |
| 2 | point description includes a correspondence to an external Schizo call command, wherein |
| 3 | the Schizo call command is a translator-specific native binding instruction, said |
| 4 | computer-readable code executable further executable for: |
| 5 | when encountering a bind point description identifying an external Schizo |
| 6 | call command during translation of the subject code, diverting the flow of |
| 7 | translation to the execution of the external Schizo call command. |
| | |
| 1 | 61. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 60, wherein the external |
| 2 | Schizo call command execution step comprises: |
| 3 | interpreting the external Schizo call command; and |
| 4 | generating an intermediate representation of the external Schizo call |
| 5 | command which: |
| 6 | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
| 7 | to a native code representation, and |
| 8 | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
| 9 | parameter according to a prototype of the native function. |
| | |
| 1 | 62. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 60, wherein the external |
| 2 | Schizo call command execution step comprises: |
| 3 | interpreting the external Schizo call command: and |

| ŧ | generating target code for the external Schizo call command which: |
|---|---|
| 5 | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
| 5 | to a native code representation, and |
| 7 | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
| 3 | parameter according to a prototype of the native function. |
| l | 63. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, said computer- |
| 2 | readable code executable further executable for performing the following steps: |
| 3 | inserting Schizo call commands into the subject code, wherein Schizo call |
| 1 | commands are translator-specific native binding instructions; and |
| 5 | detecting the Schizo call commands during translation of the subject code |
| l | 64. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 63, said computer- |
| 2 | readable code executable further executable for performing the following steps: |
| 3 | when encountering a Schizo call command during translation of the |
| 1 | subject code, diverting the flow of translation to the execution of the Schizo call |
| 5 | command. |
| l | 65. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 64, wherein the Schizo |
| 2 | call command execution step comprises: |
| 3 | interpreting the external Schizo call command; and |
| 1 | generating an intermediate representation of the Schizo call command |
| 5 | which: |
| 6 | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
| 7 | to a native code representation, and |

| ð | | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
|---|----------------|---|
| 9 | | parameter according to a prototype of the native function. |
| 1 | 66. | The computer-readable storage medium of claim 64, wherein the Schizo |
| 2 | call command | d execution step comprises: |
| 3 | | interpreting the Schizo call command; and |
| 4 | | generating target code for the Schizo call command which: |
| 5 | | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
| 6 | | to a native code representation, and |
| 7 | | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
| 8 | | parameter according to a prototype of the native function |
| 1 | 67. | The computer-readable storage medium of claim 63, wherein the Schizo |
| 2 | call command | ds are variable length instructions including multiple sub-component |
| 3 | instructions. | |
| 1 | 68. | The computer-readable storage medium of claim 67, wherein the multiple |
| 2 | sub-compone | ent instructions include a Schizo Escape sub-component instruction, said |
| 3 | Schizo call co | ommands detecting step further comprising detecting the Schizo Escape sub |
| 4 | component in | estruction. |
| | | · |
| 1 | 69. | The computer-readable storage medium of claim 68, wherein said Schizo |
| 2 | Escape sub-c | omponent instruction further identifies a type of Schizo call command |
| 3 | represented b | y the other sub-component instructions of the Schizo call command. |

| İ | 70. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, said computer- |
|---|---|
| 2 | readable code executable further executable for performing the following steps: |
| 3 | parsing and decoding a native binding implementation scripting language |
| 4 | containing native binding scripts; |
| 5 | interpreting the native binding scripts during translation; and |
| 5 | generating an intermediate representation of the native binding scripts to |
| 7 | transform a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code |
| 8 | representation. |
| | |
| 1 | 71. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 70, said computer- |
| 2 | readable code executable further executable for performing the following steps: |
| 3 | integrating the intermediate representation of the native binding scripts |
| 4 | into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and |
| 5 | generating target code for the intermediate representation forest. |
| | |
| 1 | 72. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, said computer- |
| 2 | readable code executable further executable for performing the following steps: |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the |
| 6 | transformed subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface; |
| 7 | interpreting the native code call stub function; and |

| 8 | generating an intermediate representation of the native code call stub | |
|----|--|--|
| 9 | function binding scripts to transform a function parameter from a target code | |
| 10 | representation to a native code representation. | |
| | | |
| 1 | 73. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 62, said computer- | |
| 2 | readable code executable further executable for performing the following steps: | |
| 3 | integrating the intermediate representation of the native code call stub | |
| 4 | function into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and | |
| 5 | generating target code for the intermediate representation forest | |
| | | |
| 1 | 74. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 44, wherein the native | |
| 2 | function executing step further comprises: | |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code | |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; | |
| 5 | invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the | |
| 6 | transformed subject registers; and | |
| 7 | invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with | |
| 8 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of | |
| 9 | the native function. | |
| | | |
| 1 | 75. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, said computer- | |
| 2 | readable code executable further executable for performing the following steps: | |
| 3 | parsing a scripting language implementation of a native code call stub | |
| 4 | function; | |

|) | complining the parsed harive code can stud function into a native code |
|-----|---|
| 5 · | executable module; and |
| 7 | linking the native code executable module with an executable for |
| 8 | performing the translation. |
| 1 | 76. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 75, wherein the native |
| 2 | code executable module is executable for: |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the |
| 5 | transformed subject registers; and |
| 7 | invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with |
| 3 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of |
| 9 | the native function. |
| 1 | 77. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 75, wherein the steps of |
| 2 | identifying the certain subject code and its corresponding native code are performed |
| 3 | using a bind point description, said bind point description including a subject function |
| 4 | and a native code call stub function, wherein the subject function identifies the certain |
| 5 | subject program code having corresponding native code and the native code call stub |
| 5 | function identifies the corresponding native code. |
| 1 | 78. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 77, said computer- |
| 2 | readable code executable further executable for encoding the identity of the native |

| 3 | function of the native code call stub function in the scripting language implementation of |
|-----|--|
| 4 | the native code executable module. |
| 1 | 79. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 44, wherein the native |
| 2 | function executing step further comprises: |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking from target code a target code call stub function with the |
| 6 | transformed subject registers; and |
| 7 | invoking from the target code call stub function the native function with |
| 8 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of |
| 9 | the native function. |
| | |
| 1 | 80. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 79, said computer- |
| 2 | readable code executable further executable for performing the following steps: |
| 3 | generating an intermediate representation of the native function executing |
| 4 | step; |
| 5 | integrating the intermediate representation of the native function executing |
| 6 | step into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and |
| 7 | generating target code for the intermediate representation forest. |
| 1 | 81. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein the subject |
| 2 . | function to be executed is a system call. |
| | |

| 1 | 82. | The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein the subject |
|----|---------------|--|
| 2 | function to b | e executed is a library function. |
| | | |
| 1 | 83. | In combination: |
| 2 | | a target processor; and |
| 3 | | translator code for performing native binding to execute native code |
| 4 | durin | g the translation of subject program code executable by a subject processor |
| 5 | to tar | get program code executable by a target processor, wherein native code is |
| 6 | code | executable by the target processor, said translator code comprising code |
| 7 | execu | table by said target processor for performing the following steps: |
| 8 | | identifying certain subject program code having corresponding |
| 9 | | native code; |
| 10 | | identifying the native code which corresponds to the identified |
| 11 | | subject program code; and |
| 12 | | executing the corresponding native code instead of executing a |
| 13 | | translated version of the identified subject program code. |
| | | |
| 1 | 84. | The combination of claim 83, wherein the identified subject program code |
| 2 | corresponds | to a subject function and the identified native code corresponds to a native |
| 3 | function, who | erein the native code executing step comprises: |
| 4 | | executing the native function instead of the subject function in the |
| 5 | transl | ation of the subject program code. |

| 1 | 85. The combination of claim 84, wherein the native function executing step |
|----|---|
| 2 | comprises: |
| 3 | transforming zero or more function parameters from a target code |
| 4 | representation to a native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking the native function with the transformed function parameter |
| 6. | according to a prototype of the native function; and |
| 7 | transforming zero or more return values of the invoked native function |
| 8 | from a native code representation to a target code representation. |
| 1 | 86. The combination of claim 85, wherein at least one of the transformations |
| 2 | in the transforming steps generates an intermediate representation of the transformation. |
| 1 | 87. The combination of claim 85, wherein at least one of the transformations |
| 2. | in the transforming steps generates target code. |
| 1 | 88. The combination of claim 85, wherein the native function executing step |
| 2 | further comprises: |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the |
| 6 | transformed subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface; and |
| 7 | invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with |
| 8 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of |
| 9 | the native function. |

| 3 | transforming a function parameter from a target code representation to a |
|---|--|
| 4 | native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking the native function with the transformed function parameter |
| 6 | according to a prototype of the native function; and |
| 7 | transforming a result of the invoked native function from a native code |
| 8 | representation to a target code representation. |
| 1 | 90. The combination of claim 85, wherein the function parameter |
| 2 | transforming step and the native function invoking step are described in subject code by |
| 3 | translator specific instructions added to the subject instruction set. |
| 1 | 91. The combination of claim 83, wherein the steps of identifying the certain |
| 2 | subject code and its corresponding native code are performed using a bind point |
| 3 | description. |
| 1 | 92. The combination of claim 91, wherein the bind point description includes |
| 2 | a subject function and a native function, wherein the subject function identifies the |
| 3 | certain subject program code having corresponding native code and the native function |
| 4 | identifies the corresponding native code. |
| 1 | 93. The combination of claim 92, said translator code further comprising code |
| 2 | executable by said target processor for inserting in the target code a call stub to the native |

The combination of claim 85, wherein the native function executing step

1

2

89.

comprises:

- 3 function during translation of the subject code when encountering the subject function
- 4 contained in the bind point description.
- 1 94. The combination of claim 91, wherein the bind point description is 2 embedded within a translator performing the translation.
- 1 95. The combination of claim 91, said translator code further comprising code 2 executable by said target processor for reading the bind point description from a stored 3 bind point description file at the beginning of translation execution.
- 1 96. The combination of claim 91, wherein the bind point description includes 2 a location in the subject code and a corresponding native function, wherein the location in 3 the subject code identifies the certain subject program code having corresponding native 4 code and the native function identifies the corresponding native code.
- 1 97. The combination of claim 91, wherein the bind point description includes 2 a location in the subject code and a reference to code to be invoked, wherein the location 3 in the subject code identifies the certain subject program code having corresponding 4 native code and the reference to code to be invoked identifies the corresponding native 5 code.
- 1 98. The combination of claim 97, wherein the code to be invoked is target 2 code.

| 1 | 99. The combination of claim 91, wherein the bind point description includes |
|---|---|
| 2 | a native function call which is inserted in the target code either before, after, or in place |
| 3 | of a subject function call. |
| | |
| 1 | 100. The combination of claim 91, said translator code further comprising code |
| 2 | executable by said target processor for performing runtime symbol patching comprising: |
| 3 | encoding subject-to-native function mappings in a symbol table of the |
| 4 | subject program, |
| 5 | replacing entries in the symbol table of the subject program with special |
| 6 | native binding markers, and |
| 7 | interpreting the special native binding markers when encountered during |
| 8 | translation as bind point descriptions to identify an appropriate native function to |
| 9 | call. |
| | |
| 1 | 101. The combination of claim 91, wherein the bind point description includes |
| 2 | a correspondence to an external Schizo call command, wherein the Schizo call command |
| 3 | is a translator-specific native binding instruction, the method comprising: |
| 4 | when encountering a bind point description identifying an external Schizo |
| 5 | call command during translation of the subject code, diverting the flow of |
| 6 | translation to the execution of the external Schizo call command. |
| | |
| 1 | 102. The combination of claim 101, wherein the external Schizo call command |
| 2 | execution step comprises: |
| 3 | interpreting the external Schizo call command; and |

| + | generating an intermediate representation of the external Schizo call |
|---|--|
| 5 | command which: |
| 5 | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
| 7 | to a native code representation, and |
| 8 | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
| 9 | parameter according to a prototype of the native function. |
| 1 | 103. The combination of claim 101, wherein the external Schizo call command |
| 2 | execution step comprises: |
| 3 | interpreting the external Schizo call command; and |
| 4 | generating target code for the external Schizo call command which: |
| 5 | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
| 5 | to a native code representation, and |
| 7 | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
| 3 | parameter according to a prototype of the native function. |
| | 104. The combination of claim 83, said translator code further comprising code |
| 2 | executable by said target processor for performing the following steps: |
| 3 | inserting Schizo call commands into the subject code, wherein Schizo call |
| 4 | commands are translator-specific native binding instructions; and |
| 5 | detecting the Schizo call commands during translation of the subject code. |
| 1 | 105. The combination of claim 104, said translator code further comprising |
| 2 | code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps: |

| 3 | when encountering a Schizo call command during translation of the |
|-----|---|
| 4 . | subject code, diverting the flow of translation to the execution of the Schizo call |
| 5 | command. |
| | |
| 1 | 106. The combination of claim 105, wherein the Schizo call command |
| 2 | execution step comprises: |
| 3 | interpreting the external Schizo call command; and |
| 4 | generating an intermediate representation of the Schizo call command |
| 5 | which: |
| 6 | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
| 7 | to a native code representation, and |
| 8 | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
| 9 | parameter according to a prototype of the native function. |
| - | |
| 1 | 107. The combination of claim 105, wherein the Schizo call command |
| 2 | execution step comprises: |
| 3 | interpreting the Schizo call command; and |
| 4 | generating target code for the Schizo call command which: |
| 5 | transforms a function parameter from a target code representation |
| 6 | to a native code representation, and |
| 7 | invokes the native function with the transformed function |
| 8 | parameter according to a prototype of the native function. |
| | |
| 1 | 108. The combination of claim 104, wherein the Schizo call commands are |
| 2 | variable length instructions including multiple sub-component instructions |

| 1 | 109. The combination of claim 108, wherein the multiple sub-component | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 2 | instructions include a Schizo Escape sub-component instruction, said Schizo call | | |
| 3 | commands detecting step further comprising detecting the Schizo Escape sub-componen | | |
| 4 | instruction. | | |
| • | | | |
| 1 | 110. The combination of claim 109, wherein said Schizo Escape sub- | | |
| 2 | component instruction further identifies a type of Schizo call command represented by | | |
| 3 | the other sub-component instructions of the Schizo call command. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 111. The combination of claim 83, said translator code further comprising code | | |
| 2 | executable by said target processor for performing the following steps: | | |
| 3 | parsing and decoding a native binding implementation scripting language | | |
| 4 | containing native binding scripts; | | |
| 5 | interpreting the native binding scripts during translation; and | | |
| 6 | generating an intermediate representation of the native binding scripts to | | |
| 7 | transform a function parameter from a target code representation to a native cod | | |
| 8 | representation. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 112. The combination of claim 111, said translator code further comprising | | |
| 2 | code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps: | | |
| 3 | integrating the intermediate representation of the native binding scripts | | |
| 4 | into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and | | |
| 5 | generating target code for the intermediate representation forest | | |

| 1 | 113. The | combination of claim 83, said translator code further comprising code |
|----|----------------------|---|
| 2 | executable by said t | arget processor for performing the following steps: |
| 3 | trans | forming in target code all subject register values from the target code |
| 4 | representation | on to the native code representation; |
| 5 | invol | king from target code a native code call stub function with the |
| 6 | transformed | subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface; |
| 7 | inter | preting the native code call stub function; and |
| 8 | gene | rating an intermediate representation of the native code call stub |
| 9 | function bind | ling scripts to transform a function parameter from a target code |
| 10 | representation | on to a native code representation. |
| | • | |
| 1 | 114. The | combination of claim 103, said translator code further comprising |
| 2 | code executable by | said target processor for performing the following steps: |
| 3 | integ | rating the intermediate representation of the native code call stub |
| 4 | function into | an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and |
| 5 | gene | rating target code for the intermediate representation forest. |
| | | |
| 1 | 115. The | combination of claim 85, wherein the native function executing step |
| 2 | further comprises: | |
| 3 | trans | forming in target code all subject register values from the target code |
| 4 | representation | on to the native code representation; |
| 5 | invol | king from target code a native code call stub function with the |
| 6 | transformed | subject registers; |

| , | invoking from the native code can stud function the native function with |
|---|---|
| 8 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of |
| 9 | the native function. |
| | · · · |
| 1 | 116. The combination of claim 83, said translator code further comprising code |
| 2 | executable by said target processor for performing the following steps: |
| 3 | parsing a scripting language implementation of a native code call stub |
| 4 | function; |
| 5 | compiling the parsed native code call stub function into a native code |
| 5 | executable module; and |
| 7 | linking the native code executable module with an executable for |
| 8 | performing the translation. |
| | |
| 1 | 117. The combination of claim 116, wherein the native code executable module |
| 2 | is executable for: |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; |
| 5 | invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the |
| 5 | transformed subject registers; and |
| 7 | invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with |
| 8 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of |
| 9 | the native function. |
| | |
| 1 | 118. The combination of claim 116, wherein the steps of identifying the certain |
| 2 | subject code and its corresponding native code are performed using a hind point |

| 3 | description, said bind point description including a subject function and a native code cal | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 4 | stub function, wherein the subject function identifies the certain subject program code | | |
| 5 | having corresponding native code and the native code call stub function identifies the | | |
| 6 | corresponding native code. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 119. The combination of claim 118, said translator code further comprising | | |
| 2 | code executable by said target processor for encoding the identity of the native function | | |
| 3 | of the native code call stub function in the scripting language implementation of the | | |
| 4 | native code executable module. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 120. The combination of claim 85, wherein the native function executing step | | |
| 2 | further comprises: | | |
| 3 | transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code | | |
| 4 | representation to the native code representation; | | |
| 5 | invoking from target code a target code call stub function with the | | |
| 6 | transformed subject registers; and | | |
| 7 | invoking from the target code call stub function the native function with | | |
| 8 | particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of | | |
| 9 | the native function. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 121. The combination of claim 120, said translator code further comprising | | |
| 2 | code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps: | | |
| 3 | generating an intermediate representation of the native function executing | | |
| 1 | sten: | | |

| 5 | integrating the intermediate representation of the native function executing | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 6 | step into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and | | |
| 7 | generating target code for the intermediate representation forest. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 122. The combination of claim 83, wherein the subject function to be executed | | |
| 2 | is a system call. | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | 123. The combination of claim 83, wherein the subject function to be executed | | |
| 2 | is a library function. | | |